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#### Abstract

: In this paper we study Hankel type convolution equations in distribution spaces. Solvability conditions for Hankel type convolution equations are obtained. We have also investigated hypoelliptic Hankel-type convolution equations.
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[^0]1. Introduction: The Hankel type transformation is usually defined by

$$
h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty}(x t)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x t) \phi(t) d t, \quad x \in I=(0, \infty) \text {, }
$$

where $J_{\alpha-\beta}$ denotes the Bessel type function of the first kind and order $(\alpha-\beta)$. Throughout this paper $(\alpha-\beta)$ always will be greater than $-\frac{1}{2}$, and will denote by I the real interval $(0, \infty)$.

Following [25,26, and 27], we introduce the space $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$ as the space of all those complex valued and smooth functions $\phi$ defined on $I$ such that, for any $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\rho_{m, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)=\operatorname{Sup}_{x \in(0, \infty)}\left|x^{m}\left(\frac{1}{x} D\right)^{k}\left[x^{2 \beta-1} \phi(x)\right]\right|<\infty
$$

The space $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is Frechet when it is endowed with the topology generated by the family $\left\{\rho_{m, k}^{\alpha, \beta}\right\}_{m, k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of seminorms. Following [25, Lemma 8], it can be easily established that $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$. The Hankel type transformation is defined on $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$, the dual space of $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$, as the adjoint of the $h_{\alpha, \beta}-$ transformation of $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$, and it is denoted by $h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$. More recently Waphare and Gunjal [24] have studied $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ on new spaces of functions and distributions. Now we define the spaces $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $Q_{\alpha, \beta}$ as follows:

A complex valued and smooth function $\phi$ defined on $I$ is in $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}$ if and only if, for every $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\eta_{m, k}(\phi)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|e^{m x}\left(\frac{1}{x} D\right)^{k}\left(x^{2 \beta-1} \phi(x)\right)\right|<\infty
$$

$\chi_{\alpha, \beta}$ is equipped with the topology associated to the system $\left\{\eta_{m, k}^{\alpha, \beta}\right\}_{m, k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of seminorms. Thus $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a Frechet space.

The space $Q_{\alpha, \beta}$ is constituted by all those complex valued functions $\Phi$ satisfying the following two conditions:
(i) $s^{2 \beta-1} \Phi(s)$ is an even entire function, and
(ii) for every $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\lambda_{m, k}^{\alpha, \beta}(\Phi)=\operatorname{Sup}_{|I m s| \leq k}\left(1+|s|^{2}\right)^{m}\left|s^{2 \beta-1} \Phi(s)\right|<\infty .
$$

$Q_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a Frechet space when we consider the topology generated by the family of seminorms $\left\{\lambda_{m, k}^{\alpha, \beta}\right\}_{m, k \in \mathbb{N}}$ on $Q_{\alpha, \beta}$.
In [24] it is established that $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a homeomorphism from $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}$ onto $Q_{\alpha, \beta}$. Moreover, $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ coincides with its inverse. The Hankel type transform is defined on the dual spaces $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ and $Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ as the adjoint of the $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ transformation and it is also denoted by $h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$.

The convolution for a Hankel type transformation closely connected with $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ was investigated by Hirschman [9] and Haimo [8] and Cholewinski [5]. A simple manipulation in the convolution considered by the above authors allows us to obtain the convolution for $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ that will denoted by \# and is defined as follows: For every measurable function $\phi$ and $\psi$ on I such that $x^{2 \alpha} \phi$ and $x^{2 \alpha} \psi$ are absolutely integrable on I, the convolution $\phi \# \psi$ of $\phi$ and $\psi$ is given by

$$
(\phi \# \psi)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(y)\left(\tau_{x} \psi\right)(y) d y, \quad x \in I
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\tau_{x} \psi\right)(y)=\int_{0}^{\infty} D_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) \psi(z) d z, x, y \in I \text { and } \\
D_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2 \beta-1}(x t)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x t)(y t)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(y t)(z t)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(z t) d t
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
x, y, z \in I .
$$

The study of the \# - convolution in distribution spaces was started by de Sousa-Pinto [19]. In a series of papers, Betancor and Marrero [2,3,4,22,23] and [12] have investigated the Hankel convolution on the Zemanian spaces. Also Betencor and Gonzalez [1] studied the generalized Hankel convolution. Recently, Waphare and Gunjal [24] defined the \# convolution on distributions of exponential growth.

In this paper we analyze Hankel type convolution equations. Solvability conditions for the \# convolution equations in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ and $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ are investigated in Section 2. Also in Section 3 we study hypoelliptic Hankel type convolution equations in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ and $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$. Throughout this paper $M$ will always denote a suitable positive constant not necessarily the same in each occurrence.

## 2. Solvability of Hankel type convolution equations of distribution:

In this section, inspired by the papers of Sznajder and Zietezny [17,18] and Pahk and Sohn [15], we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions to solve Hankel type convolution equations in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ and $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$. Marrero and Betencor [12] studied the Hankel type convolution operators on $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$. They introduced, for every $m \in Z$, the space $O_{\alpha, \beta, m, \#}$ constituted by all those complex valued and smooth functions $\phi$ defined on I such that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\delta_{k}^{\alpha, \beta, m}(\phi)=\operatorname{Sup}_{x \in I}\left|\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{m} x^{2 \beta-1} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} \phi(x)\right|<\infty,
$$

where $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}$ denotes the Bessel type operator $x^{2 \beta-1} D x^{4 \alpha} D x^{2 \beta-1}$.
We define $\bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, m, \#}$ as the closure of $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$ in $O_{\alpha, \beta, m, \#}$.
Note that $\bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, m, \#} \supset \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, m+1, \#}$ for each $m \in Z$. The space
$\mathrm{U}_{m \in z} \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, m, \#}$ is denoted by $\bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$. The Hankel type convolution operators of $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ are the elements of $\bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$, the dual space of $\bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$ [3]. Characterizations of $\bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$, were obtained in Proposition 4.2 [12]. Following [4], we can establish the following result:
Proposition 2.1: For $S \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$, the following conditions are equivalent
(i) To every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there correspond $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a positive constant M such that

$$
\max _{o \leq \ell \leq m} \operatorname{Sup}\left\{\left|\left(\frac{1}{t} D\right)^{\ell}\left[t^{2 \beta-1}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime} S\right)(t)\right]\right|: t \in I, \quad|x-t| \leq\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{-k}\right\}
$$

$\geq\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{-n}$, whenever $x \in I, x>M$.
(ii) If $T \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$ and $S \# T \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$, then $T \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$.

If $S \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$, the existence of solution for the convolution equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \# S=v \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $v \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime} ;$ implies conditions (i) and (ii) in Proposition 2.1.
Proposition 2.2: Let $S \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$. If $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime} \# S=\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$, then conditions
(i) and (ii) in Proposition 2.1 hold.

Proof: It is enough to see that (ii) holds when $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime} \# S=\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$.
Note that the mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
F: & \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}=\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime} \# S \\
& u \rightarrow u \# S
\end{aligned}
$$

is the transpose of the mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
G: \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} & \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} \subset S \# \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} \\
& \phi \rightarrow S \# \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by involving [6, Corollary, p. 92] the mapping $G$ is an isomorphism.
In particular, the mapping $G^{-1}: S \# \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is continuous.
Assume now that $T \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$ is such that $T \# S \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$. Let $\left(\phi_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of smooth functions such that the following three conditions are satisfied.
(i) $c_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2 \alpha} \phi_{k}(x) d x=1$, where $c_{\alpha, \beta}=2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)$,
(ii) $0 \leq \phi_{k}(x), x \in I$,
(iii) $\phi_{k}(x)=0, x \notin(1 /(k+1), 1 / k)$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

According to [3,p.1148], for each $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{k} \# \psi \rightarrow \psi, \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text { in } \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by involving [12, Proposition 4.7], we can write

$$
S \#\left(T \# \phi_{k}\right)=(S \# T) \# \phi_{k}=(T \# S) \# \phi_{k}, \text { for every } k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Since $T \# \phi_{k} \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, by taking into account that $G^{-1}$ is continuous and by (2.2) and (2.3), we conclude that $\left(T \# \phi_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$. Also by (2.2) again $T \# \phi_{k} \rightarrow T$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$. When we consider in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ the weak* (or the strong) topology. Hence $T \in$ $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$. Thus proof is completed.

Waphare and Gunjal [24] have defined the Hankel type convolution of distributions of exponential growth. We introduced [24] a subspace $\chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$ of $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ consisting of $S \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ such that $S \# \psi \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta}$ for every $\psi \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta}$.

In the following we establish a condition that $S \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$ satisfies when the equation (2.1) admits a solution for every $v \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$.

Proposition 2.3: Let $S \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$. If $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime} \# S=\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$, then $S$ verifies the following property. $T \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta}$ provided that $T \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$ and $T \# S \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta}$.

Proof: This result can be proved in a similar way to Proposition 2.2. It is enough to see that if $\left(\psi_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of smooth functions verifying the three conditions listed in the proof of Proposition 2.2 then, for every $\psi \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \# \phi_{k} \rightarrow \psi, \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text { in } \chi_{\alpha, \beta}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of [24] and by the interchange formula [9, Theorem 2d] to show (2.4) it is equivalent to see that, for every $\Psi \in Q_{\alpha, \beta}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\phi_{k}\right) \Psi \rightarrow \Psi \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text { in } Q_{\alpha, \beta} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove (2.5). Let $\left(\phi_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in the proof of Proposition 2.2 and Let $\Psi \in$ $Q_{\alpha, \beta}$. Since $\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2 \alpha} \phi_{k}(t) d t=c_{\alpha, \beta}$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $c_{\alpha, \beta}=2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
s^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\phi_{k}\right)(s)-1= & \int_{0}^{\infty}(s t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(s t) t^{2 \alpha} \phi_{k}(t) d t-1 \\
& =\int_{1 /(k+1)}^{1 / k}\left[(s t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(s t)-\frac{1}{c_{\alpha, \beta}}\right] t^{2 \alpha} \phi_{k}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$.
Let $K$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$, and let $\epsilon>0$. There exists $t_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\left|(s t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(s t)-1 / c_{\alpha, \beta}\right|<\epsilon,
$$

for each $0<t<t_{0}$ and $s \in K$. Hence we can find $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every $k \geq k_{0}$ and $s \in K$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|s^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\phi_{k}\right)(s)-1\right| \leq \int_{1 /(k+1)}^{1 / k}\left|(s t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(s t)-1 / C_{\alpha, \beta}\right| t^{2 \alpha} \phi_{k}(t) d t \\
<\epsilon C_{\alpha, \beta}
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, from [11, Lemma 4], we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|s^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\phi_{k}\right)(s)-1\right| \leq & \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\left|(s t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(s t)\right|+1\right) t^{2 \alpha} \phi_{k}(t) d t \\
\leq & M e^{|I m s|} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2 \alpha} \phi_{k}(t) d t \\
& =M e^{|I m s|}, \text { for every } k \in \mathbb{N} \text { and } s \in \mathbb{C}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a $a>0$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{1+|s|^{2}}\left|s^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\phi_{k}\right)-1\right|<\epsilon, \text { for every } k \geq k_{0} \text { and }|\operatorname{Ims}| \leq m .
$$

Further let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have, for every $\Psi \in Q_{\alpha, \beta}$,

$$
w_{n, m}^{\alpha, \beta}\left(\Psi(s)\left[s^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\phi_{k}\right)(s)-1\right]\right)
$$

$$
\leq \operatorname{Sup}_{|I m s| \leq m}\left(1+|s|^{2}\right)^{n+1}\left|s^{2 \beta-1} \Psi(s)\right| \operatorname{Sup}_{|I m s|} \frac{1}{1+|s|^{2}}\left|s^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\phi_{k}\right)(s)-1\right| \rightarrow 0,
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus (2.5) is proved. Thus proof is completed.
We now give a condition for $S \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$ that implies the solvability of equation (2.1) for every $v \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$.

Proposition 2.4: Let $S \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$. If there exist $N, \tau, C$ positive constants such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sup}_{s \in \mathbb{C},|s| \leq r} \left\lvert\,(\xi+s)^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)(\xi+s) \geq \frac{c}{\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{N}}\right., \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime} \# S=\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$.
Proof: By [6, Corollary, p. 92], we see that $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}=\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime} \# S$, it is sufficient to prove that the linear mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
G: \chi_{\alpha, \beta} & \rightarrow S \# \chi_{\alpha, \beta} \subset \chi_{\alpha, \beta} \\
& \psi \rightarrow S \# \psi
\end{aligned}
$$

is a homeomorphism.
Note firstly that G is continuous mapping. In effect, by invoking [24], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\psi)=S \# \psi=h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(S^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S) h_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi)\right), \text { for every } \psi \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $s^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)$ is a continuous multiplier from $Q_{\alpha, \beta}$ into itself (see [24]) and from [24] it infers that G is continuous.

Moreover from (2.7), we can deduce that $G$ is one-to-one. Infact, if $\psi \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta}$ being $G(\psi)=0$ then $s^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S) h_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi)=0$. Since
$S \neq 0, h_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi)=0$ and hence $\psi=0$. To complete the proof, we have to prove that the mapping

$$
\begin{gathered}
G^{-1}: S \# \chi_{\alpha, \beta} \rightarrow \chi_{\alpha, \beta} \\
S \# \psi \rightarrow \psi
\end{gathered}
$$

is continuous, or equivalently, by [24], we have to see that the mapping

$$
\begin{gathered}
F: s^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S) Q_{\alpha, \beta} \rightarrow Q_{\alpha, \beta} \\
s^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S) \Phi \rightarrow \Phi
\end{gathered}
$$

is continuous. Let $\Phi \in Q_{\alpha, \beta}$ and define $\Psi=s^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S) \Phi$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By invoking lemma of Hormander [10, Lemma 3.2], we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|s^{2 \beta-1} \Phi(s)\right| & \leq \operatorname{Sup}_{|z-s|<4(k+r)}\left|z^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)(z) z^{2 \beta-1} \Phi(z)\right| \\
& \times \frac{\operatorname{Sup}_{|z-s|<4(k+r)}\left|z^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)(z)\right|}{\left[\operatorname{Sup}_{|z-s|<k+r}\left|z^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)(z)\right|\right]^{2}}, s \in \mathbb{C} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, according to (2.6), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Sup}_{|z-s|<k+r}\left|z^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)(z)\right|= & \operatorname{Sup}_{|z|<k+r}\left|(s+z)^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)(s+z)\right| \\
& \geq \operatorname{Sup}_{|z|<r} \mid(\text { Res }+z)^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)(\text { Res }+z) \mid \\
\geq & \frac{C}{\left(1+\mid \text { Res }\left.\right|^{2}\right)^{N}}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \geq \frac{C}{\left(1+|s|^{2}\right)^{N}}, \quad|I m s| \leq k .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover by [24], there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Sup}_{|I m z| \leq 5 k+4 r}\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{-n}\left|z^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(s)(z)\right|<\infty .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Sup}_{|z-s|<4(k+r)}\left|z^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(s)(z)\right|=\operatorname{Sup}_{|z|<4(k+r)}\left|(s+z)^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(s)(s+t z)\right| \\
\leq M \operatorname{Sup}_{|z-s|<4(k+r)}\left(1+|s+z|^{2}\right)^{n} \\
\leq M\left(1+|s|^{2}\right)^{n},|I m s| \leq k \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence from (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10), we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|s^{2 \beta-1} \Phi(s)\right| \leq M\left(1+|s|^{2}\right)^{n+2 N} \\
& \times \operatorname{Sup}_{|z|<4(k+r)}\left|(z+s)^{2 \beta-1} \Psi(z+s)\right|,|I m s| \leq k \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Now let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By (2.11) one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Sup}_{|I m s| \leq k}^{\operatorname{Sup}}\left(1+|s|^{2}\right)^{m}\left|s^{2 \beta-1} \Phi(s)\right| \\
& \leq M \underset{|I m s| \leq k}{\operatorname{Sup}}\left(1+|s|^{2}\right)^{n+2 N+m} \underset{|z|<4(k+r)}{\operatorname{Sup}}\left|(z+s)^{2 \beta-1} \Psi(z+s)\right| \\
& \leq M \underset{|I m s| \leq k}{\operatorname{Sup}} \underset{|z|<4(k+r)}{\operatorname{Sup}}\left(1+|z+s|^{2}\right)^{n+2 N+m}\left|(z+s)^{2 \beta-1} \Psi(z+s)\right| \\
& \leq M \underset{|I m s| \leq 5 k+4 r}{\operatorname{Sup}}\left(1+|s|^{2}\right)^{n+2 N+m}\left|s^{2 \beta-1} \Psi(s)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we prove that $F$ is continuous, and we conclude that $G$ is a homeomorphism. Thus proof is completed.

## 3. Hypoelliptic Hankel type convolution equations:

Sampson and Zielezny [16], Zielezny [28] and [29] and Pahk [13] and [14], amongst others, have investigated hypoelliptic (usual) convolution equations in certain spaces of generalized functions.

In this section we investigate hypoelliptic conditions for the Hankel type convolution equations in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ and $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$.

Let $S \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$. We say that $S$ (or the Hankel type convolution equation $u \# s=v$ ) is hypoelliptic in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ if all solutions $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ of $u \# S=v$ are in $\bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$ whenever $v \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$.

Conversely $v \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$ provided that the equation $u \# S=v$ admits a solution $u \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$.
Proposition 3.1: If $f \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$ and $S \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$, then $f \# S \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$.
Proof: A simple modification in the proof of [12, Proposition 4.2] allows us to see that, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $k=k(m)$ and continuous functions $f_{p}$ on $\mathrm{I}, 0 \leq p \leq k$, such that

$$
S=\sum_{p=0}^{k} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{p} f_{p}, \text { and }
$$

$\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{m} x^{2 \beta-1} f_{p}$ is bounded on I, $0 \leq p \leq k$.
Claim 1: $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $f \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, l, \#}$. If $S \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$, then

$$
f \# S=\sum_{p=0}^{k} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{p}\left(f \# f_{p}\right)
$$

where $\left(f_{p}\right)_{p=0}^{k}$ is a family of continuous functions on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\sum_{p=0}^{k} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{p} f_{p} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{m} x^{2 \beta-1} f_{p}$ is bounded on I , for every $p=0,1, \ldots \ldots, k$, and being $m>|l|+3 \alpha+$ $\beta$.

Proof of claim 1: Let $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$. By (3.1) we can write
$\langle f \# S, \quad \psi\rangle=\left\langle f ; S \# \psi=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) \sum_{p=0}^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{p}(y)\left(\tau_{x} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{p} \psi\right)\right\rangle(y) d y d x$

$$
=\sum_{p=0}^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{p} \psi\right)(x) \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{p}(y)\left(\tau_{x} f\right)(y) d y d x .
$$

Since $m>|l|+3 \alpha+\beta$, it follows for every $p=0,1, \ldots \ldots, k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|f_{p}(y)\right||f(x)| \int_{0}^{\infty} D_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z)\left|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta, z}^{p} \psi(z)\right| d z d x d y \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|f_{p}(y)\right|\left|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta, z}^{p} \psi(z)\right| \cdot \int_{|z-y|}^{z+y} D_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) x^{2 \alpha} x^{2 \beta-1}|f(x)| d x d y d z \\
& \leq M \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|f_{p}(y)\right|\left|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta, z}^{p} \psi(z)\right|\left(1+(z+y)^{2}\right)^{|l|}(z y)^{2 \alpha} d z d y \\
& \leq M \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{2 \alpha}\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{|l|}\left|f_{p}(y)\right| d y \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} z^{2 \alpha}\left(1+z^{2}\right)^{|l|}\left|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta, z}^{p} \psi(z)\right| d z<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the interchange in the order of integrations is justified.
Thus proof of claim 1 is completed.
Claim 2: Let $l \in z$. If $g$ is a continuous function on I such that $\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{a} x^{2 \beta-1} g(x)$ is bounded on I , for some $a>|l|+3 \alpha+\beta$, and $f \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, l, \#}$ then $f \# g \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$.
Proof: Let $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Following [1, Lemma 3.1], we can see that the operators $\tau_{x}$ and $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}$ commute on $\bar{o}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$ for each $x \in I$, we can write

$$
\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{b}(f \# g)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} g(y) \tau_{x}\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{b} f\right)(y) d y, x \in I .
$$

For every $x, y \in I$ one has
$\left|\tau_{x}\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{b} f\right)(y)\right| \leq \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} D_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z)\left|\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{b} f\right)(z)\right| d z$
$\leq M\left(1+(x+y)^{2}\right)^{|l|}(x y)^{2 \alpha}$
$\leq M(x y)^{2 \alpha}\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{|l|}\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{|l|}$.
Hence we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{b}(f \# g)(x)\right| \leq & \int_{0}^{\infty}|g(y)|\left|\left(\tau_{x} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{b} f\right)(y)\right| d y \\
\leq & M x^{2 \alpha}\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{|l|} \operatorname{Sup}_{z \in I}\left(1+z^{2}\right)^{l} z^{2 \beta-1}\left|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{b} f(z)\right| \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{2 \alpha}\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{|l|}|g(y)| d y, x \in I . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $f \# g \in O_{\alpha}-|l|$, \#.

Moreover, $f \# g \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta,-|l|, \#}$. In effect, if $\left(\psi_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $\psi_{n} \rightarrow f$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $O_{\alpha, \beta, l, \#,}$, then from (3.2) we can infer that $\psi_{n} \# g \rightarrow f \# g$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $O_{\alpha, \beta,-|l|, \#}$. Also according to [22], there exists an $s \in Z$ such that $\psi_{n} \# g \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, s, \#}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence as $\bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$ is complete [22], $f \# g \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$.

Now, by taking into account that, for every $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $f \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$,

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} f(x) \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} \psi(x) d x=\int_{0}^{\infty} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} f(x) \psi(x) d x
$$

Thus from Claim 1 and Claim 2 we conclude that $f \# S \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$.
Thus proof is completed.
We say that $S \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$ has the property $(H E)$ if and only if there exist $B, C>0$ such that $\left|h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)(y)\right| \geq y^{-B}$ for every $y \geq C$. We now prove that the property ( $H E$ ) is a necessary and sufficient condition in order that $S \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$ is hypoelliptic in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$.
The following result will allow us to prove the necessity of the condition $(H E)$.
Proposition 3.2: Assume that $\xi_{1}>1, \xi_{j}-\xi_{j-1}>1$ for every $j=2,3, \ldots$, and $\left(a_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\left|a_{j}\right|=O\left(\xi_{j}^{\gamma}\right)$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$, for some $\gamma>0$.
Denote by $\delta_{\alpha-\beta}$ the element of $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
\left\langle\delta_{\alpha-\beta}, \psi\right\rangle=c_{\alpha, \beta} \lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} x^{2 \beta-1} \psi(x), \psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}
$$

being $c_{\alpha, \beta}=2^{\alpha-\beta} \Gamma(3 \alpha+\beta)$.
Then

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} \tau_{\xi_{j}} \delta_{\alpha-\beta} \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}
$$

Moreover, if
$T=h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} \tau_{\xi_{j}} \delta_{\alpha-\beta}\right)$, then $T \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$ if and only if $\left|a_{j}\right|=O\left(\xi_{j}^{-v}\right)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, for each $v \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: The series

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} \tau_{\xi_{j}} \delta_{\alpha-\beta}
$$

converges in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$, when we consider in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ the weak* topology. In effect for every $\psi \in$ $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $\xi \in I$ according to $[4,(2.1)]$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\tau_{\xi} \delta_{\alpha-\beta}, \psi\right\rangle= & c_{\alpha, \beta} \lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} x^{2 \beta-1}\left(\tau_{\xi} \phi\right)(x) \\
& =c_{\alpha, \beta} \lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} h_{\alpha, \beta}\left[(x t)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x t) h_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi)(t)\right](\xi) \\
= & \phi \psi(\xi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left\langle\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \tau_{\xi_{j}} \delta_{\alpha-\beta}, \psi\right\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \psi\left(\xi_{j}\right), \psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}
$$

and since $\left|a_{j}\right|=O\left(\xi_{j}^{\gamma}\right)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, for some $\gamma>0$, the last sequence converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$. Therefore

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} \tau_{\xi_{j}} \delta_{\alpha-\beta} \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}
$$

Moreover, from (3.3) we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle T, \psi\rangle & =\left\langle\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} \tau_{\xi_{j}} \delta_{\alpha-\beta}, h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} h_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi)\left(\xi_{j}\right) \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}\left(x \xi_{j}\right)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}\left(x \xi_{j}\right), \psi(x)\right\rangle, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus it is established that

$$
T=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}\left(x \xi_{j}\right)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}\left(x \xi_{j}\right)
$$

It is not hard to see that, if $\left|a_{j}\right|=O\left(\left|\xi_{j}\right|^{-v}\right)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, for each $v \in \mathbb{N}$, then by involving well known properties of the Bessel function [27, Sections 5.1, (6) and (7)] for every $b \in \mathbb{N}$ the series

$$
\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{b} T(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}\left(-\xi_{j}^{2}\right)^{b}\left(x \xi_{j}\right)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}\left(x \xi_{j}\right)
$$

converges uniformly in $x \in I$ and $x^{2 \beta-1} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{b} T$ is bounded on I. Hence,

$$
T \in O_{\alpha, \beta, \#}=\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} O_{\alpha, \beta, m, \#}
$$

Moreover, by proceeding as in the proof of [1, Lemma 2.1] we can conclude that $T \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$. Assume now that $T \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$. According to [4, (2.1)] and by (3.3) we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle x^{2 \beta-1}(x h)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x h) \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} T(x), \phi(x)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} T(x), h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\tau_{h} h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(x)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime} T\right\rangle(x),\left(-x^{2}\right)^{k} \tau_{h}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(x) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}\left\langle\delta_{\alpha-\beta}, \tau_{\xi_{j}}\left(\left(-x^{2}\right)^{k} \tau_{h}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}\left(-\xi_{j}^{2}\right)^{k} \tau_{\xi_{j}}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(h) \\
& \int_{0}^{\infty}(x h)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x h)\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} T\right)(x) x^{2 \beta-1} \psi(x) d x, \quad h \in I .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x^{2 \beta-1} \psi(x)\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} T\right)(x)$ is absolutely integrable on I, the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma for the Hankel type transform [20, Section 14.41] leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}\left(-\xi_{j}^{2}\right)^{k} \tau_{\xi_{j}}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(h) \rightarrow 0, \text { as } h \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose a function $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$ such that $\psi \not \equiv 0, h_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi)(x)=0$ for every $x \geq 1$, and $h_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi) \geq 0$. It is simple to see that such a function $\psi$ can be found.

Then if $x, y \in I$ and $x-y>1$, we have
$\tau_{x}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(y)=\int_{x-y}^{x+y}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(z) D_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) d z$
$=\int_{1}^{\infty}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(z) D_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) d z=0$.
Moreover, if $x \geq 1 / 2$ from (2.3) [20, section 13.45] it infers

$$
\tau_{x}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(x)=\int_{0}^{2 x}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(z) D_{\alpha, \beta}(x, x, z) d z
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{x^{4 \beta}}{2^{\alpha-5 \beta} \Gamma(2 \alpha) \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{2 x} z^{-2 \beta}\left(4 x^{2}-z^{2}\right)^{-2 \beta}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(z) d z \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{\alpha-5 \beta} \Gamma(2 \alpha) \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{1} z^{-2 \beta}\left(4-\left(\frac{z}{x}\right)^{2}\right)^{-2 \beta}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(z) d z
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{x}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(x) \rightarrow \frac{2^{-(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha) \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{1} z^{-2 \beta}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(z) d z, \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} z^{-2 \beta}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(z) d z \in I
$$

By virtue of (3.5), for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}(-1)^{k} \xi_{j}^{2 k} \tau_{\xi_{j}}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)\left(\xi_{l}\right)=a_{l}(-1)^{k} \xi_{l}^{2 k} \tau_{\xi_{l}}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)\left(\xi_{l}\right)
$$

Therefore (3.4) and (3.6) imply that $a_{l} \xi_{l}^{2 k} \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$, and the proof is thus completed.
In the following we establish that $(H E)$ is necessary and sufficient in order that $S \in$ $\bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$ be hypoelliptic in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$.

Proposition 3.3: Let $S \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$. Then $S$ is hypoelliptic in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ if and only if $S$ satisfies (HE).
Proof: Assume firstly that $S$ does not verify (HE). Then, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\xi_{j} \in I$ for which

$$
\xi_{j}^{2 \beta-1}\left|h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)\left(\xi_{j}\right)\right| \leq \xi_{j}^{-j}
$$

and $\xi_{j}-\xi_{j-1}>1, j=2,3, \ldots$. and $\xi_{1}>1$.
We now consider $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(u)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau_{\xi_{j}} \delta_{\alpha-\beta} .
$$

According to Proposition 3.2, $u \notin \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$. Moreover, by invoking [12, Proposition 4.5]

$$
h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(u \# s)=x^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(u) h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_{j}^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)\left(\xi_{j}\right) \tau_{\xi_{j}} \delta_{\alpha-\beta}
$$

and Proposition 3.2 implies that $u \# s \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$. Hence $S$ is not hypoelliptic in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$. Suppose that S satisfies (HE), and let $\psi$ be a smooth function defined on I such that

$$
\psi(x)= \begin{cases}x^{2 \alpha}, & \text { for } 0<x<C \\ 0, & \text { for } x \geq C+1\end{cases}
$$

where C is the positive constant that appears in property (HE).
Note that $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$.
Also we define

$$
P(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \text { for } 0<x \leq C \\
x^{2 \alpha}-\phi(x) /\left(x^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)(x),\right) \text { for } x>C .
\end{array}\right.
$$

According to [12, Proposition 4.2] , $x^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)(x)$ is a multiplier of $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$. Hence as S satisfies (HE), P is smooth on I. Moreover, $x^{2 \beta-1} \mathrm{P}$ is a multiplier of $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$. In effect, according to [21] for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an $n_{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{-n k}\left(\frac{1}{x} D\right)^{k}\left[x^{2 \beta-1} h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)(x)\right]
$$

is bounded on $I$. Hence since $S$ verifies (HE) by virtue of Theorem in [21], $x^{2 \beta-1} P$ is a multiplier of $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$.
We have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2 \beta-1} P(x) h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(S)(x)=x^{2 \alpha}-\phi(x), x \in I \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying the Hankel type transformation to (3.7), it obtains

$$
Q \# S=\delta_{\alpha-\beta}-g
$$

where $Q=h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(P) \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime},\left[12\right.$, proposition 4.2], and $\psi=h_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi) \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta},[25$,

## Lemma 8.

Suppose now that $u \# S=v$ where $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ and $v \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$.
Then, according [12, Proposition 4.7], we can write

$$
u=u \# \delta_{\alpha-\beta}=u \#(Q \# S)+u \# g=(u \# S) \# Q+u \# g=v \# Q+u \# g
$$

Proposition 3.1 implies that $v \# Q \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$ and [22] leads to $u \# g \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$. Thus the hypoellipticity of $S$ is proved.

Thus proof is completed.
Remark 2: Note that by proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 3.3, we can also prove that if $S \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$ and there exist $Q \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$ and $R \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$ such that
$Q \# S=\delta_{\alpha-\beta}-R$, then S is hypoelliptic in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$.
In [24], we introduced for every $m \in Z$ the space $X_{\alpha, \beta, m \#}$ that is formed by all those complex valued and smooth functions $\psi$ defined on I such that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\lambda_{k}^{\alpha, \beta, m}(\psi)=\operatorname{Sup}_{x \in I}\left|e^{m x} e^{2 \beta-1} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} \psi(x)\right|<\infty
$$

It is clear that $X_{\alpha, \beta, m+1, \#}$ is contained in $X_{\alpha, \beta, m, \#}$. By $\chi_{\alpha, \beta, m, \#}$, we denote the closure of $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}$ into $X_{\alpha, \beta, m, \#}$. The space

$$
\chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}=\bigcup_{m \in I} \chi_{\alpha, \beta, m, \#}
$$

is endowed with the inductive topology.
Let $S \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$. We say that $S$ (or the Hankel type convolution equation $v \# S=v$ ) is hypoelliptic in $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ when $v \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$ implies that any solution $u \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ of $u \# S=v \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$.

The following property is analogous to the one presented in Proposition 3.1.
Proposition 3.4: If $f \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$ and $S \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$, then $f \# S \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$.
Proof: We can prove this result in a way similar to Proposition 3.1.
After establishing the following proposition (similar to Proposition 3.2) we will prove that (HE) is also a necessary condition for the hypoelliptic of S in $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$.

Proposition 3.5: Let $(\alpha-\beta) \geq 1 / 2$. Assume that $\xi_{j}>2 \xi_{j-1}, j=2,3, \ldots$, and $\xi_{1}>1$. Let $\left(a_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a complex sequence such that $\left|a_{j}\right|=O\left(\xi_{j}^{\gamma}\right)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\gamma>0$. Then

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} \tau_{\xi_{j} \delta_{\alpha-\beta}} \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}
$$

Moreover, if

$$
T=h_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} \tau_{\xi_{j} \delta_{\alpha-\beta}}\right), \quad \text { then } T \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}
$$

if and only if

$$
\left|a_{j}\right|=O\left(\xi_{j}^{-v}\right) \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty, \text { for every } v \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Proof: Since $Q_{\alpha, \beta} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$ [24] from Proposition 3.2, it is inferred that the series

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} \tau_{\xi_{j} \delta_{\alpha-\beta}}
$$

converges in $\overline{O^{\prime}}$ when we consider in $\bar{O}^{\prime}$ the weak* topology. Then, by [24]

$$
T=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}\left(x \xi_{j}\right)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}\left(x \xi_{j}\right) \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}
$$

Moreover, if $\left|a_{j}\right|=O\left(\xi_{j}^{-v}\right)$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$, for each $v \in \mathbb{N}$, then it is easy to see that if $T \in$ $\chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$. Suppose now that $T \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\psi \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta}$. We have
$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}\left(-\xi_{j}^{2}\right)^{k} \tau_{\xi_{j}}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(h)$
$=\int_{0}^{\infty}(x h)^{\alpha+\beta} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x h)\left(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k}\right)(x) x^{2 \beta-1} \psi(x) d x \rightarrow 0$,
as $h \rightarrow \infty$.
Define $\psi(x)=e^{-x^{2}} x^{2 \alpha}, x \in I$. According to (2.10) [7, Section 8.6],

$$
h_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi)(y)=\frac{y^{2 \alpha}}{2^{3 \alpha+\beta}} e^{-y^{2} / 4}, y \in I
$$

Hence, since $h_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi) \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta}, \psi \in \bar{O}_{\alpha, \beta}$ (See [24]). Note that $h_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi)(y) y^{2 \beta-1}>0$ for every $y \in I$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{x}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(y) & =\int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} D_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) h_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi)(z) d z  \tag{3.9}\\
& \leq M(x y)^{2 \alpha}\left(1+|x-y|^{2}\right)^{-m}, x, y \in I
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, for each $x \in I$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{x}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(x)= & \int_{0}^{2 x} D_{\alpha, \beta}(x, x, z) h_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi)(z) d z \\
& =\frac{x^{4 \beta}}{2^{\alpha-5 \beta} \Gamma(2 \alpha) \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{2 x} z^{-2 \beta}\left((2 x)^{2}-z^{2}\right)^{-2 \beta} h_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi)(z) d z \\
& =\frac{2^{-(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha) \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{2 x} z^{-2 \beta}\left(1-\left(\frac{z}{2 x}\right)^{2}\right)^{-2 \beta} h_{\alpha, \beta}(\psi)(z) d z
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{x}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(x) \rightarrow \frac{2^{-(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha) \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} z^{-2 \beta}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)(z) d z \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $l$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. From (3.9) we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}(-1)^{k} \xi_{j}^{2 k}\left(\tau_{\xi_{j}} h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)\left(\xi_{l}\right)\right| \\
& \geq\left|a_{l}\right| \xi_{l}^{2 k}\left(\tau_{\xi_{j}} h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)\left(\xi_{l}\right)-\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq l}}\left|a_{j}\right| \xi_{j}^{2 k}\left(\tau_{\xi_{j}} h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)\left(\xi_{l}\right) \\
& \geq\left|a_{l}\right| \xi_{l}^{2 k}\left(\tau_{\xi_{j}} h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)\left(\xi_{l}\right)-M \xi_{l}^{2 k} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq l}}\left|a_{j}\right| \xi_{j}^{2 k+2 \alpha}\left(1+\left|\xi_{j}-\xi_{l}\right|^{2}\right)^{-m} \\
& \geq\left|a_{l}\right| \xi_{l}^{2 k}\left(\tau_{\xi_{j}} h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)\left(\xi_{l}\right)-M \xi_{l}^{2 k} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq l}}^{\infty}\left|a_{j}\right| \xi_{j}^{2 k+2 \alpha}\left|\xi_{j}-\xi_{l}\right|^{-m} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left|a_{l}\right|=O\left(\xi_{j}^{\gamma}\right)$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$ with $\gamma>0$, one has
$\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^{\infty} a_{l} \xi_{j}^{2 k+2 \alpha}\left|\xi_{j}-\xi_{l}\right|^{-m} \leq M \underset{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}{\infty} \xi_{j}^{2 k+2 \alpha}\left|\xi_{j}-\xi_{l}\right|^{-m}$.
By taking into account that
$\xi_{j}-\xi_{j-1} \geq 2 \xi_{j-1}-\xi_{j-1} \geq 2^{j-1}, \quad j=2,3, \ldots \ldots$,
we can obtain
$\left|\xi_{j}-\xi_{l}\right| \geq 2^{l-1}$, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}-\{l\}$.
Hence, by choosing $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \geq 2(2 k+\gamma+4 \alpha+2 \beta)$, it follows
$\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^{\infty} \xi_{j}^{2 k+\gamma+2 \alpha}\left|\xi_{j}-\xi_{l}\right|^{-m}$
$\leq \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^{\infty}\left|\xi_{j}-\xi_{l}\right|^{-1}\left|1-\frac{\xi_{l}}{\xi_{j}}\right|^{-(2 k+\gamma+2 \alpha)}\left|\xi_{j}-\xi_{l}\right|^{-(2 k+\gamma+4 \alpha+2 \beta)}$

$$
\leq M 2^{-l}
$$

By combining (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13), we conclude that $\left|\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq l}}^{\infty} a_{j}(-1)^{k} \xi_{j}^{2 k}\left(\tau_{\xi_{j}} h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)\left(\xi_{l}\right)\right|$
$\geq \xi_{l}^{2 k}\left(\left|a_{l}\right| \xi_{l}^{2 k+2 \beta-1} \tau_{\xi_{l}}\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \psi\right)\left(\xi_{l}\right)-M 2^{-l}\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $l \rightarrow \infty$.
Hence, from (3.8), (3.10) and (3.14), we deduce that
$\left|a_{l}\right| \xi_{l}^{2 k+2 \beta-1} \rightarrow 0$, as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the result is established and hence proof is completed.
The following proposition can be proved as Proposition 3.3.
Proposition 3.6: Let $(\alpha-\beta) \geq 1 / 2$ and $S \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$. If $S$ is hypoelliptic in $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$, then $S$ satisfies the property (HE).

Remark 3: Finally we want to remark that, as in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$, if $S \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$ and there exist $Q \in$ $\chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$ and $R \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \# S=\delta_{\alpha-\beta}-R \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then S is hypoelliptic in $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$. However, we do not know how to define $Q \in \chi_{\alpha, \beta, \#}^{\prime}$ and $R \in$ $\chi_{\alpha, \beta}$ satisfying (3.15) when $S$ verifies (HE). We think that the condition (HE) must be replaced by other analogous but stronger conditions than (HE) involving complex values.
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